

448

NHRC Library

Accession No. 187

Call No. ....

938



LIBRARY

## Introduction

Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. The global burden of IHD already occurred in the developing countries and 82% of the anticipated increase in mortality and 89% of morbidity will be seen in these regions. The burden on IHD in Nepal<sup>1</sup> and in India is huge<sup>2,3</sup> and mortality in AMI is shown to be higher in Indians for various reasons. The last 2 decades have seen numerous randomized controlled trials<sup>4-7</sup> establishing the

# THE CREATE REGISTRY

## A Prospective Study of Practice Pattern and Outcomes in Acute Myocardial Infarction and Unstable Angina In Various Regions of Nepal

PICCA and CABG<sup>8</sup> have improved the outcomes of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and unstable angina (UA) and the outcomes of these conditions have improved. Clinical trials and guidelines like the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiologists<sup>9</sup> have greatly influenced practice patterns in AMI<sup>10</sup>.

Most studies done in America and Europe show under-utilization of appropriate treatments for AMI and UA.

Numerous studies have been done in the recent past to document and analyze the utilization of treatment options in AMI<sup>11-14</sup>. These studies have shown variations in treatment based on type of hospital<sup>15</sup>, age and gender of patients<sup>16</sup>, type of treating physicians<sup>17,18</sup> and geography<sup>19,20</sup>.

A study<sup>21</sup> done in the late 1990's documented practice patterns in AMI in India in 14 hospitals in 3 southern states. This study showed variations in the utilization of treatment options in AMI and UA across different hospitals and regions.

Another study<sup>22</sup> compared the practice patterns in AMI and UA in India and Canada<sup>23</sup>. This study showed that the presenting pattern and risk factors were different, but the patterns of practice appear to be similar.

With a large burden of IHD in Nepal, a study of practice patterns and analysis of its appropriateness will therefore, now, be timely and important. The data generated from such a study will throw invaluable light on how AMI and UA are being treated in different types of hospitals in various regions of Nepal. This data would create a National Picture of practice patterns and outcomes in AMI and UA, thereby helping improve patient care and outcome in these conditions.

**Dr.R. K. Shah, M.D. (Cardiology)**  
**Dept. of Cardiology, NAMS, Bir Hospital**

2004

## Introduction

Ischaemic heart disease [IHD] is one of the leading causes of mortality and morbidity in the world. By the end of the 20th century 60% of the global burden of IHD already occurred in the developing countries and 82% of the anticipated increase in mortality and 89% of morbidity will be seen in these regions<sup>1</sup>. The burden on IHD in Nepal<sup>26</sup> and in India is huge<sup>2-5</sup> and mortality in AMI is shown to be higher in Indians for various reasons<sup>6</sup>. The last 2 decades have seen numerous randomized controlled trials<sup>7-9</sup> establishing the benefit of treatment options like aspirin, thrombolysis, beta blockade and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, and interventional options like PTCA and CABG surgery. With this, the treatments of Acute Myocardial Infarction [AMI] and Unstable Angina [UA] have changed and the outcomes of these conditions have improved. Clinical trials and guidelines like the American Heart Association /American College of Cardiologists<sup>10</sup> have greatly influenced practice patterns in AMI<sup>11</sup>.

Most studies done in America and Europe show under-utilization of appropriate treatments for AMI and UA.

Numerous studies have been done in the recent past to document and analyze the utilization of treatment options in AMI<sup>12-18</sup>. These studies have shown variations in treatment based on type of hospital<sup>19</sup>, age and gender of patients<sup>20</sup>, type of treating physicians<sup>21,22</sup> and geography<sup>23,24</sup>.

A study<sup>19</sup> done in the late 1990's documented practice patterns in AMI in India in 14 hospitals in 3 southern states. This study showed variations in the utilization of treatment options based on the type of hospital and recommended the need to be more appropriate in treatment practices. Another study compared the practice patterns between hospitals in India and Canada<sup>25</sup>. This study showed that the presenting pattern and risk factors were different, but the patterns of practice appear to be similar.

With a large burden of IHD in Nepal, a study of practice patterns and analysis of its appropriateness will therefore, now, be timely and important. The data generated from such a study will throw invaluable light on how AMI and UA are being treated in different types of hospitals in various regions of Nepal. This data would create a National Picture of practice patterns and outcomes in AMI and UA, thereby helping improve patient care and outcome in these conditions.



## Aims and objectives

1. To study the therapeutic modalities employed in patients of AMI or UA [both pharmacological and interventional].
2. To document and analyze the events and outcomes in hospital and within 30 days.
3. To describe variations in therapeutic practices and outcomes based on patient characteristics [age, gender, socio-economic status and risk factors], nature of hospital [secondary vs. tertiary, teaching vs. non-teaching etc.] and type of treating physicians [interventional vs. non-interventional, cardiologists vs. general physicians].

# **Material and Methods**

## **Study Size**

122 patients at NAMS, Bir Hospital and 22 Patients at Medicare National Hospital and Research Center over nine months (July 2003 AD to March 2004 AD)

## **Study Design**

This was a multi centric prospective observational study with 2 centers in Kathmandu valley. Data was collected by means of a simple Case Report Form [CRF]. In CRF1 data of the patient's hospital stay and discharge and in CRF2 the 30-days follow up data was collected and verbal consent was taken from patients before including in the study.

## **Eligibility**

Cases were recruited prospectively and consecutively. All patients admitted to the CCU/ICU with suspected acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina were screened. Those who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were considered for the study and the CRF filled up. Those who were excluded only entered in the screening log. The second form [CRF 2] was filled when the patient comes for the 30-day follow up. Data was collected within a window period of 10 days after the 30-day period.

## **Inclusion Criteria**

1. Suspected acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina with definite EGG changes.
2. Signs and symptoms of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina but no definite ECG changes. However the patient has evidence of anyone or more of the following: Ischemic Heart Disease, Prior MI, PTGA, GABG, Positive TMT or Angiographic evidence of Coronary Artery Disease.

## **Exclusion Criteria**

1. Patients partially treated elsewhere for this episode and referred only for additional management or interventional therapy without any reliable proof of treatment taken.
2. Patients with serious unrelated disease [e.g. advanced malignancy], which may limit life expectancy to less than the 30-day, follow up period.
3. Anticipated problems with the 30 day follow up [e.g. patients from another place who will not come back for any follow up visits]

## Result

### SEX DISTRIBUTION

The study population=142

Male=92

Female= 50

Male: Female=1.84:1

### AGE DISTRIBUTION :

Age Range	Male	28-83 years
	Female	53-85 years
Mean Age	Male	56.20 years
	Female	65.0 Years

**Table No. 1**  
**EDUCATION**

-SN	EDUCATION	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	NONE	45	31.69
2	<HIGH SCHOOL	63	44.37
3	HIGH SCHOOL	7	4.93
4	B.A	17	11.97
5	PH.D	10	7.04
TOTAL		142	100

**Table No. 2**  
**OCCUPATION**

SN	OCCUPATION	NUMBER	PERCENGAGE
1	PROFFETIONAL	19	13.38
2	SKILLED LABOUR	9	6.34
3	UNSKILLED LABOUR	20	14.08
4	HOUSE WIFE	43	30.28
5	FARMER	17	11.97
6	POLICE	3	2.11
7	LARGE BUSSINESS	7	4.94
8	SMALL BUSSINESS	12	8.45
9	CLERICAL	12	8.45
10	OTHERS	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>142</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table No. 3**  
**INCOME ( IN Nepalese Rupees)**

SN	INCOME	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	<3000	44	31.33
2	3000- 5000	38	26.51
3	5000- 10000	29	20.48
4	10000- 15000	14	9.64
5	15000- 20000	12	8.43
6	>20000	5	3.61
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>142</b>	<b>100</b>



**Table No. 4**  
**SOCIAL CLASS**

S. N.	CLASS	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	RICH	7	4.93
2	UPPER MIDDLE	32	22.54
3	LOWER MIDDLE	79	55.63
4	POOR	24	16.90
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>142</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table No. 5**  
**TYPE OF IHD**

UNSTABLE ANGINA	62	(43.66)
MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION	80	(56.34)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table No. 6**  
**TYPE OF MI**

SN	TYPE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	INFERIOR	24	16.90
2	ANTERIOR	41	28.88
3	RV	0	0
4	LB BB	1	0.70
5	NON Q	10	7.04
6	IN+RV	2	1.41
7	IN+ANT	2	1.41
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>80</b>	<b>56.34</b>

**Table No. 7**  
**KILLIP CLASS**

CLASS	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	67	47.18
2	49	34.51
3	14	9.86
4	12	8.45
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table No. 8**  
**PAST HISTORY**

SN	HISTORY	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	MI	14	9.86
2	DM	39	27.46
3	HTN	62	43.66
4	CVA	2	1.41
5	HF	2	1.41
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>119</b>	<b>83.8</b>

**Table No. 9**  
**SMOKING**

SN	TYPE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	SMOKER	58	40.85
2	PAST SMOKER	48	33.80
3	NON SMOKER	36	25.35
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>142</b>	<b>100</b>



**Table No. 10**  
**THROMBOLYTIC PATTERN**

YES	32	(22.54%)
NO	110	(77.46%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>(100.00%)</b>

**Table No. 11**

SN	DRUGS	BEFORE HOSPITAL ADMISSION	DURING HOSPITAL ADMISSION	AT DISCHARGE
1.	ANTI PLATELETS	17(11.97%)	140(98.6%)	132(92.96%)
2.	NITRATE	14(9.86%)	140(98.59%)	115(80.99%)
3.	B-BLOCKER	20(14.08%)	113(79.58%)	105(73.94%)
4.	ANTI COAGULANT	0	109(76.76%)	2(1.41%)
5.	ACE INHIBITOR	19(13.38%)	118(83.10%)	117(82.39%)
6.	AT1 BLOCKER	5(3.52%)	3(2.11%)	2(1.41%)
7.	CC BLOCKER	15(10.56%)	9(6.34%)	10(7.04%)
8.	STATINS	7(4.93%)	123(86.62%)	110(77.46%)
9.	ANTI ARRHYTHMIC	0	9(6.34%)	5(3.50%)
10.	DIURETICS	10(7.04%)	25(17.6%)	7(4.93%)

**Table No. 12**  
**EVENT IN THE HOSPITAL**

SN	EVENT	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	REINFARCTION	4	2.82
2	DEATH	10	7.04
3	STROKE	2	1.41
4	CARDIAC ARREST	2	1.41
5	CARDIOGENIC SHOCK	0	0
6	PUL EMBOLISM	0	0
7	BLEEDING	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>12.68</b>

**Table No. 13**  
**EVENT AFTER DISCHARGE**

SN	EVENT	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
1	DEATH	5	3.52
2	CARDIAC ARREST	2	1.41
TOTAL		7	4.93

## Discussion

Like in many other articles<sup>26</sup> in this study also male predominance was seen. Male patients were 1.8 times more than female. In male mean age of IHD event was 56 years while in female was 65 years. Less educated who was either not completed high school or illiterate were affected more (76%). Female were mostly housewife while males were of different occupation. 72% of patients were poor and lower middle class. Monthly family income was less than five thousand in 57% of patients. MI was commoner than UA. Anterior wall MI was almost two times more than inferior wall. Most common risk factor was smoking (74%), hypertension was present in 43% and diabetes mellitus in 27%. Thrombolysis was done in 22% only while MI was present in 56% of patients. Only 11% of patients were taking Aspirin before hospital admission. During hospital stay 98.6% of patients received Aspirin. Before admission drugs taken by patients were Nitrate in 9.64%, ACEI in 13.38%, B-Blockers in 14.08%, CC Blockers in 10.56% and Statins in 4.93% only. In hospital Nitrate was given 98.61%, ACEI in 83.10%, B-Blocker in 79.58%, CC-Blocker in 6.34% and Statin in 86.62%. 6.34% of patients received anti arrhythmic therapy during hospital stay. In hospital mortality was 7% and death occurred within 30 days was 3.5%.



## Conclusion

1. Mean age of IHD event was 56.20 years in male while 65.08 years in female.
2. IHD events were nearly two times higher in male than in female.
3. 2/3 of the IHD patients were less educated
4. Most of the patients were lower middle class or poor (72.53 %)
5. Myocardial infarction (MI) was commoner than unstable angina while anterior wall MI was two times more than inferior wall
6. 44% of the patients were hypertensive and diabetes mellitus seen in 28 %
8. More than 74 % of the patients were either smoker or ex smoker. Nonsmoker were only 25%
9. Thrombolysis was done in 22% only
10. Under utilization of drugs like Aspirin, B-blocker, statins and ACE inhibitor was seen in IHD patients.
11. In hospital mortality was 7% while death occurred after discharge was 3.5%

## References

1. Murray CJ, Lopez AD, eds. The Global Burden of Disease: A comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from disease, injuries and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard School of Health; 1996
2. Dhawan J. Coronary Heart Disease risks in Asian Indians. *Curr Opin Lipido*. 1996; 7(4): 196-8.
3. Gupta R., Gupta VP. Meta analysis of coronary Heart disease prevalence in India. *Indian Heart J* 1996; 48(3): 241-5.
4. Enas EA, Yusuf S & Me-hia JL Prevalence of coronary artery disease in Asian Indians. *Am J Cardiol* 1992;70:945-949.
5. Kutty VR., Balakrishnan KG., Jayashree AK., Thomas J. Prevalence of Coronary Heart Disease in the rural population of Thiruvananthapuram district, Kerala, India. *Int. J. Cardiol*. 1993; 39(1): 59-70.
6. Mckeigue PM & Marmot MG. Mortality from coronary heart disease in Asian communities in London. *Br Med J* 1988;297:903.
7. ISIS-2 (Second International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative group. Randomized trial of intravenous streptokinase, oral aspirin, both or neither among 17,187 cases of suspected acute myocardial infarction: ISIS-2. *Lancet* 1988;2:349-60.
8. The GUSTO Investigators. An international randomized trial comparing four thrombolytic strategies for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:673-82.
9. ISIS-4 : a randomized factorial trial comparing early oral capotopril , oral mononitrate and intravenous magnesium sulfate among 58,050 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction . *Lancet* 1995;345:669-85.
10. Ryan TJ, Anderson JL, Antman EM, Braniff BA, Brooks NH, Ca'liff RM, Hillis LD, Hiratzka LF, Rapaport E, Riegel BJ, Russel RO, Smith EE 3rd, Weaver WD. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the management of patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology / American Heart Association Task force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction). *J Am Coil Cardiol* 1996; 28: 1328 -1428.
11. European Secondary Prevention study Group. Translation of clinical trials into practice: a European population based study of the use of thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1996;347:1203.

12. Tsuyuki RT; Gill S; Hilton JD Patterns of practice analysis for acute myocardial infarction. *Can J Cardiol* 1994 Nov;10(9):891-6
13. Danchin N, Vaur L, Genes N, Renault M, Ferrieres J, Etienne S, Cambou JP. Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction in intensive care units in 1995 a nationwide French survey of practice and early hospital results. *J-Am-Coll-Cardiol*. 1997;30:1598- 605.
14. Fernando PB, Ferreira A, Dias P, Moura L, Cortez M, Capucho R, Maciel MJ, Brandao F, Gomer MC. Pharmacologic treatment of Acute Myocardial Infarction: 2 large clinical trials at a central hospital. *Rev port Cardiol*. 1996 ; 15: 617- 630, 611.
15. Thompson PL; Parsons RW; Jamrozik K; Hockey RL; Hobbs MS; Broadhurst RJ. Changing patterns of medical treatment in acute myocardial infarction. Observations from the Perth MONICA Project 1984-1990. *Med J Aust* 1992 Jul 20;157(2):87-92
16. Bratzler DW; de Leon'AC Jr; Johnson MC; Oehlert WH; Slagle RC; Murray CK; Bumpus LJ; Webb J The Cooperative Cardiovascular Project in OklahomaJ *Okla State Med Assoc* 1997 Jul-Aug;90(6):219-27
17. Luzier AB; Navsarikar A; Wilson MF; Ashai K; Forrest A. Patterns of prescribing ACE inhibitors after myocardial infarction. *Pharmacotherapy* 1999 May;19(5):655-60
18. Rogers WJ, Bowlby LJ, Chandra NC, French WJ, Gore JM, Lambrew CT, Rubison RM, Tienfenbrunn AJ, Weaver WD. Treatment of myocardial infarction in the United States (1990 to 1993). Observations from the National Registry of myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1994;90:2103-14.
19. George E, Hunsberger S, Savitha D, Pais P. Treatment of Acute Myocardial Infarction: Does the type of hospital make a difference? *Ind Heart J* 1999 Mar-Apr.
20. Oka RK, Fortmann SP, Varady AN. Differences in treatment of acute myocardial infarction by sex, age and other factors (the Stanford Five-City Project). *Am J Cardiol*. 1996;78:861-865.
21. Brand DA; Newcomer LN; Freiburger A; Tian H Cardiologists' practices compared with practice guidelines: use of beta-blockade after acute myocardial infarction *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995 Nov 15;26(6): 1432-6
22. Kizer JR; Cannon CP; McCabe CH; Mueller HS; Schweiger MJ; Davis VG; Perritt R; Antman EM Trends in the use of pharmacotherapies for acute myocardial infarction among physicians who design and/or implement randomized trials versus physicians in routine clinical practice: the MILIS-TIMI experience. Multicenter Investigation on Limitation of Infarct Size. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction. *Am Heart J* 1999 Jan;137(1):79-92



23. Venturini F; Romero M; Tognoni G Patterns of practice for acute myocardial infarction in a population from ten countries. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1999 Jan;54(11):877-86
24. Pilote L; Califf RM; Sapp S; Miller DP; Mark DB; Weaver WD; Gore JM; Armstrong PW; Ohman EM; Topol EJ Regional variation across the United States in the management of acute myocardial infarction. GUSTO-1 Investigators. Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tissue Plasminogen Activator for Occluded Coronary Arteries. *N Engl J Med* 1995 Aug31;333(9):565-72
25. Anand SS, Pais P, Pogue J, Yusuf S. A comparison of practice patterns for Acute Myocardial Infarction between hospitals in Canada and India. *Indian Heart J.* 1997 ; 49; 35-41.
26. Dr. R. K. Shah, Factors Involved in First Myocardial Infarction, *Nepalese Heart J.* 2004